## **REMARKS**

This paper is being provided in response to the Office Action dated July 14, 2005 for the above-referenced application. In this response, Applicants have made minor modifications to the specification, cancelled claims 6, 7, 16, and 17, amended claims 8, 11-15, and 18-20, and added claims 21-24 in order to clarify that which Applicant deems to be the invention. Applicant respectfully submits that the minor changes to the specification do not add new matter and the amendments to the claims and the new claims are all supported by the originally filed application.

Applicants gratefully acknowledge the allowance of claims 1-5 and the indication of allowability of claims 8-10. In this response, Applicants have rewritten claim 8 in independent form in accordance with the guidelines provided in the Office Action. Claims 9 and 10 depend from claim 8. Accordingly, applicants respectfully submit that claims 1-5 and 8-10 are in condition for allowance.

The objection to the specification has been addressed herein by amending the title and making other modifications as set forth in the guidelines provided in the Office Action.

Accordingly, applicants respectfully request that this objection be withdrawn.

The rejection of claims of 11-20 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, the rejection of claims 11-20 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, and the rejection of claims 11-20 under 35 U.S.C. § 101 have all been addressed by amendments provided herein in accordance with the guidelines set forth in the Office Action where the executable code has been recited as being in a

computer readable medium. Accordingly, applicants respectfully request that these rejections be withdrawn.

The rejection of Claims 6, 7, 16, and 17 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) has been made moot by cancellation of those claims herein. Accordingly, applicants respectfully request that this rejection be withdrawn.

Based on the above, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw all outstanding rejections and objections. Favorable consideration and allowance are earnestly solicited. Should there be any questions after reviewing this paper, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at 508-898-8603.

Respectfully submitted,

MUIRHEAD AND SATURNELLI, I

September 29, 2005

Date

Muirhead and Saturnelli, LLC 200 Friberg Parkway, Suite 1001 Westborough, MA 01581 508-898-8601 (ph) 508-898-8602 (fax) Donald W. Muirhead Registration No. 33,978